Nos. 23-11528, 23-11644

In the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ET AL.,

Defendants-Appellants.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Florida Nos. 3:21-cv-1066, 3:23-cv-9962

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PARTIES' SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS

Office of the Attorney General PL-01, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050 (850) 414-3300 (850) 410-2672 (fax) henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com

Ashley Moody

Attorney General of Florida

HENRY C. WHITAKER

Solicitor General

DANIEL W. BELL

Chief Deputy Solicitor General

DARRICK W. MONSON

Assistant Solicitor General

JAMES H. PERCIVAL

Chief of Staff

NATALIE P. CHRISTMAS

Counselor to the Attorney General

Florida v. United States Nos. 23-11528, 23-11644

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PERSONS AND CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Florida certifies to the best of its knowledge that the following is a complete list of interested persons:

Americans for Immigrant Justice, Inc.
Bailey, Andrew
Bell, Daniel
Bird, Brenna
Boynton, Brian M.
Brodeen, Karen
Butler, Steven
Cameron, Daniel
Canizares Law Group LLC
Carr, Christopher M.
Catholic Charities Legal Services, Archdiocese of Miami, Inc.
Christmas, Natalie
Commonwealth of Kentucky
Commonwealth of Virginia

Florida v. United States Nos. 23-11528, 23-11644

Coody, Jason R.

Crapo, Matt A.

Darrow, Joseph A.

Drummond, Genter F.

Fabian, Sarah B.

Faruqui, Bilal A.

Ferguson, Andrew N.

Fitch, Lynn

Florida Office of the Attorney General

Fudim, Elissa P.

Gallagher, Kevin M.

Garcia, Miranda & Gonzalez-Rua, P.A

Garland, Merrick

Griffin, Tim

Guard, John

Gurian Group, P.A.

Hilgers, Michael T.

> Florida v. United States Nos. 23-11528, 23-11644

Hill, Bridget

Hudak, Matthew

Immigration Reform Law Institute

Jaddou, Ur M.

Jackley, Marty J.

Johnson, Tae D.

Knudsen, Austin

Kobach, Kris

Labrador, Raúl

Landry, Jeff

Marshall, Stephen

Mayorkas, Alejandro

Miller, Troy

Minot, Martin J.

Miyares, Jason S.

Moody, Ashley

Monson, Darrick

> Florida v. United States Nos. 23-11528, 23-11644

Morrisey, Patrick

Moyle, Marie A.

Owens, Jason

Patel, Anita

Peachey, William C.

Percival, James H. II

Prada, Mark A.

Reuveni, Erez R.

Reyes, Sean D.

Rokita, Theodore E.

Ryan, Erin

State of Alabama

State of Alaska

State of Arkansas

State of Florida

State of Georgia

State of Idaho

> Florida v. United States Nos. 23-11528, 23-11644

State of Indiana

State of Iowa

State of Kansas

State of Louisiana

State of Mississippi

State of Missouri

State of Montana

State of Nebraska

State of North Dakota

State of Ohio

State of Oklahoma

State of South Carolina

State of South Dakota

State of Utah

State of West Virginia

State of Wyoming

Taylor, Treg

Florida v. United States Nos. 23-11528, 23-11644

United States of America

U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of Florida

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

Ward, Brian C.

Wenski, Thomas G.

Wetherell, T. Kent II

Wilson, Alan

Wilson, Sarah

Whitaker, Henry C.

Wrigley, Drew

Yost, Dave

UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PARTIES' SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFS

Florida hereby moves under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26(b) for a 14-day extension of time for the parties to file supplemental briefs as ordered by the Court. *See* Doc. 87 in 23-11528, Doc. 70 in 23-11644. This motion is unopposed.

- 1. On April 8, 2024, the Court ordered the parties to file supplemental briefs within 14 days on whether Florida has standing "[i]n light of *United States v. Texas*, 599 U.S. 670 (2023)." Doc. 87 in 23-11528, Doc. 70 in 23-11644 at 3.
- 2. "For good cause, the court may extend the time prescribed . . . by its order" Fed. R. App. P. 26(b).
- 3. Florida has good cause to seek an extension here. The Supreme Court decided *United States v. Texas* on June 23, 2023. Because of the expedited briefing schedule in this case, the State of Florida had only three days to modify its draft brief before its June 26 filing deadline.
- 4. The Court has now asked for further briefing on that case, and has allowed each party up to 8,000 words, which is more than the words allowed in a reply brief.
- 5. An additional two weeks will assist the State of Florida in briefing the effects of *United States v. Texas* in the most comprehensive manner, especially in light of a number of other pressing issues the office is currently litigating.

6. No party would be prejudiced by the relief requested in this motion. Florida's counsel has consulted with counsel for appellants and is authorized to represent that this motion is unopposed. *See* 11th Cir. R. 26-1.

Florida respectfully requests that the Court extend the time for the parties to file supplemental briefs to May 6, 2024.

Dated: April 10, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

ASHLEY MOODY

Attorney General of Florida

/s/ Henry C. Whitaker

HENRY C. WHITAKER

Solicitor General

DANIEL W. BELL

Chief Deputy Solicitor General

DARRICK W. MONSON

Assistant Solicitor General

JAMES H. PERCIVAL

Chief of Staff

NATALIE P. CHRISTMAS

Counselor to the Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General The Capitol, PL-01 Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (850) 414-3300 henry.whitaker@myfloridalegal.com

Counsel for Plaintiff-Appellee

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

1. This document complies with Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure

27(d)(2)(A), because, excluding the parts exempted by Federal Rule of Appellate Pro-

cedure 32(f), it contains 299 words.

2. This document complies with the typeface and type-style requirements of

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 27, 32(a)(5), and 32(a)(6), because this document

has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in 14-

point Garamond font.

/s/ Henry C. Whitaker
Solicitor General

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 10, 2024, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of Court using the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send a notice of docketing activity to all parties who are registered through CM/ECF.

/s/ Henry C. Whitaker Solicitor General